

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,834	04/30/2001	Ronny Kimmel	10001200-1	1010
75	90 03/22/2004		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			COUSO, YON JUNG	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	4 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ma/				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,834	KIMMEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yon Couso	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum o period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 April 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/a)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International E	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48)	No(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4,5</u>. 	SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \text{Notice} \) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2625

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kimmel et al "A Variational Framework for Retinex (XP-002243109)".

As per claims 1 and 10, Kimmel teaches an image enhancement method, comprising: capturing an image; constructing a multi-resolution structure comprising one or more resolution layers (page 9, under 3.2.2. Multi-Resolution); processing each resolution layer using an iterative algorithm having at least one iteration (pages 10-11 under 3. Main Loop); projecting each processed resolution layer to a subsequent resolution layer (page 10, step (d) under 3. Main Loop); up-calling each projected resolution layer to the subsequent resolution layer (page 11, under 4. Update the next resolution layer); and using the projected resolution layers to estimate an illumination image (I, illumination image calculated).

As per claims 2 and 11, Kimmel teaches, for each of one or more iterations, calculating a gradient of a penalty functional; and computing an optimal line-search step size (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 3 and 12, Kimmel teaches that the penalty functional is given by:

F[I] = $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla I|^2 + \alpha \nabla I (I - s)^2 + \beta |\nabla (I - s)|^2) dxdy$; subject to I ≥ s and $[\nabla I, n] = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$; wherein Ω is a support of the image, $\partial \Omega$ is an image boundary, n is a

Art Unit: 2625

normal to the image boundary, and α and β are free non-negative real numbers (page 7, equation (1)).

As per claims 5 and 14, Kimmel teaches the iterative algorithm is a Projected Normalized Steepest Descent algorithm (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 6 and 15, Kimmel teaches the iterative algorithm is a Steepest Descent algorithm (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 7 and 16, Kimmel teaches a set of constraints comprise that the illumination is greater than the image intensity, L>S (I \geq s in Kimmel meet the requirement of L>S).

As per claims 8 and 17, Kimmel teaches applying penalty terms, the penalty terms, comprising: that the illumination is spatially smooth; that the reflectance is maximized; that the reflectance is piece-wise smooth (pages 8-9 under 3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent).

As per claims 9 and 18, Kimmel teaches computing the reflectance image based on the captured image and the estimated illumination image; computing a gamma correction factor; applying the gamma correction factor to the estimated illumination image; and multiplying the gamma-corrected illumination image and the reflectance image, thereby producing a corrected image (page 13, figure 3).

Art Unit: 2625

As per claim 19, Kimmel teaches a method for enhancing an image S, the image S comprising a reflectance R and an illumination L (page 2, under $\underline{1.\, Introduction}$ lines 1-7), the method comprising: constructing a multi-resolution image structure having one or more resolution layers (page 9, under $\underline{3.2.2.\, Multi-Resolution}$); processing the resolution layers using an iterative algorithm (pages 10-11 under $\underline{3.\, Main\, Loop}$); projecting the processed resolution layers onto a set of constraints, the set of constraints comprising boundary conditions and that L>S (pages 10-11 under $\underline{3.\, Main\, Loop}$ and $I \ge s$ in Kimmel meet the requirement of L>S) and using the projected resolution layers to estimate an illumination image (I, illumination image calculated).

As per claim 20, Kimmel teaches that the image S is a RGB domain color image, the method further comprising, mapping colors R, G, B of the image S into a luminance/chrominance color space, applying a correction factor to a luminance Layer, and mapping the luminance/chrominance colors back to the RGB domain (page 12, under 4. Color Images).

- 2. Ids filed 7-22-03 and 9-03-03 have been considered. However, references 1R and 1S (the same reference is cited two times) do not qualify as prior art since the publication date is cited as January, 2002.
- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tao et al, Hubel et al and Abdulwahab et al are also cited.

Art Unit: 2625

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yon Couso whose telephone number is (703) 305-4779.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am -5:00 pm from Monday to Friday

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

YON J. COUSO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Yic

March 18, 2004